



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,688	09/17/2003	Koichi Nagoshi	P23994	3984
7055	7590	12/12/2007	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			MUHEBBULLAH, SAJEDA	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
2174				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/12/2007		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

[gpatent@gpatent.com](mailto:gpatent@gpatent.com)  
[pto@gpatent.com](mailto:pto@gpatent.com)

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/663,688	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAGOSHI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> SAJEDA MUHEBBULLAH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2174

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SAJEDA MUHEBBULLAH. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) William Pieprz (Attorney). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 December 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kitada et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney explained the claim in more detail where the claim consist of four different apparatuses - a first MFD with no fax capability which transmits fax information to a server which transmits it to a second MFD which has fax capability which transmits to a final apparatus recipient. Examiner agreed to consider Attorney's arguments and will do further searching and let Attorney know if similar art is found before sending out action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sajeda Muhebbullah/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2174

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required